

Formal degrees of unipotent representations

Eric Opdam

Universiteit van Amsterdam

Representation Theory
Soltau, March 24, 2014

Outline

A conjecture of Hiraga, Ichino and Ikeda (JAMS, 2008) expresses the **formal degree** of a discrete series representation of the group of points of a connected, semisimple algebraic group over a local field, in terms of local L-functions (assuming the conjectured local Langlands conjecture).

- Formulation of the conjecture of HII (nonarchimedean case).

Outline

A conjecture of Hiraga, Ichino and Ikeda (JAMS, 2008) expresses the **formal degree** of a discrete series representation of the group of points of a connected, semisimple algebraic group over a local field, in terms of local L-functions (assuming the conjectured local Langlands conjecture).

- Formulation of the conjecture of HII (nonarchimedean case).
- Proof of HII for **unramified Langlands** parameters of nonarchimedean local fields.

Outline

A conjecture of Hiraga, Ichino and Ikeda (JAMS, 2008) expresses the **formal degree** of a discrete series representation of the group of points of a connected, semisimple algebraic group over a local field, in terms of local L-functions (assuming the conjectured local Langlands conjecture).

- Formulation of the conjecture of HII (nonarchimedean case).
- Proof of HII for **unramified Langlands** parameters of nonarchimedean local fields.
- Our proof consists of the computation of both sides, the "Hecke side" and the "L-function side". Hecke side: **New concepts for affine Hecke algebras** (partial ordering, spectral transfer, deformation theory).

Outline

A conjecture of Hiraga, Ichino and Ikeda (JAMS, 2008) expresses the **formal degree** of a discrete series representation of the group of points of a connected, semisimple algebraic group over a local field, in terms of local L-functions (assuming the conjectured local Langlands conjecture).

- Formulation of the conjecture of HII (nonarchimedean case).
- Proof of HII for **unramified Langlands** parameters of nonarchimedean local fields.
- Our proof consists of the computation of both sides, the "Hecke side" and the "L-function side". Hecke side: **New concepts for affine Hecke algebras** (partial ordering, spectral transfer, deformation theory).
- A conjecture (with **Dan Ciubotaru**): Formal degree = **nonabelian FT** \times **elliptic fake degree vector**.

The Weil group of a nonarchimedean local field

- Let k be a nonarchimedean local field (e.g. \mathbb{Q}_p or $\mathbb{F}_p((x))$), with ring of integers \mathcal{O} (\mathbb{Z}_p and $\mathbb{F}_p[[x]]$ respectively), max. ideal $\mathfrak{p} = \pi\mathcal{O} \subset \mathcal{O}$, and **residue field** $\mathfrak{f} = \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}$ ($= \mathbb{F}_p$ here).

The Weil group of a nonarchimedean local field

- Let k be a nonarchimedean local field (e.g. \mathbb{Q}_p or $\mathbb{F}_p((x))$), with ring of integers \mathfrak{O} (\mathbb{Z}_p and $\mathbb{F}_p[[x]]$ respectively), max. ideal $\mathfrak{p} = \pi\mathfrak{O} \subset \mathfrak{O}$, and **residue field** $\mathfrak{f} = \mathfrak{O}/\mathfrak{p}$ ($= \mathbb{F}_p$ here).
- Fix k^s , separable alg. closure of k , and $k^u \subset k^s$, maximal unramified extension of k . Then $\text{Gal}(k^u/k) \simeq \Gamma_{\mathfrak{f}} = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$, with $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{f}} := \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathfrak{f}}/\mathfrak{f})$ (Γ denotes the absolute Galois group).

The Weil group of a nonarchimedean local field

- Let k be a nonarchimedean local field (e.g. \mathbb{Q}_p or $\mathbb{F}_p((x))$), with ring of integers \mathfrak{O} (\mathbb{Z}_p and $\mathbb{F}_p[[x]]$ respectively), max. ideal $\mathfrak{p} = \pi\mathfrak{O} \subset \mathfrak{O}$, and **residue field** $\mathfrak{f} = \mathfrak{O}/\mathfrak{p}$ ($= \mathbb{F}_p$ here).
- Fix k^s , separable alg. closure of k , and $k^u \subset k^s$, maximal unramified extension of k . Then $\text{Gal}(k^u/k) \simeq \Gamma_{\mathfrak{f}} = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$, with $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{f}} := \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathfrak{f}}/\mathfrak{f})$ (Γ denotes the absolute Galois group).
- Let $I = \Gamma_{k^u}$ (the **inertia group**), then $1 \rightarrow I \rightarrow \Gamma_k \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow 1$.

The Weil group of a nonarchimedean local field

- Let k be a nonarchimedean local field (e.g. \mathbb{Q}_p or $\mathbb{F}_p((x))$), with ring of integers \mathfrak{O} (\mathbb{Z}_p and $\mathbb{F}_p[[x]]$ respectively), max. ideal $\mathfrak{p} = \pi\mathfrak{O} \subset \mathfrak{O}$, and **residue field** $\mathfrak{f} = \mathfrak{O}/\mathfrak{p}$ ($= \mathbb{F}_p$ here).
- Fix k^s , separable alg. closure of k , and $k^u \subset k^s$, maximal unramified extension of k . Then $\text{Gal}(k^u/k) \simeq \Gamma_{\mathfrak{f}} = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$, with $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{f}} := \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathfrak{f}}/\mathfrak{f})$ (Γ denotes the absolute Galois group).
- Let $I = \Gamma_{k^u}$ (the **inertia group**), then $1 \rightarrow I \rightarrow \Gamma_k \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow 1$.
- Let $\mathcal{W}_k \subset \Gamma_k$ be the inverse image of $\mathbb{Z} \subset \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ (the **Weil group** of k). Hence $1 \rightarrow I \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_k \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 1$.

The Weil group of a nonarchimedean local field

- Let k be a nonarchimedean local field (e.g. \mathbb{Q}_p or $\mathbb{F}_p((x))$), with ring of integers \mathfrak{O} (\mathbb{Z}_p and $\mathbb{F}_p[[x]]$ respectively), max. ideal $\mathfrak{p} = \pi\mathfrak{O} \subset \mathfrak{O}$, and **residue field** $\mathfrak{f} = \mathfrak{O}/\mathfrak{p}$ ($= \mathbb{F}_p$ here).
- Fix k^s , separable alg. closure of k , and $k^u \subset k^s$, maximal unramified extension of k . Then $\text{Gal}(k^u/k) \simeq \Gamma_{\mathfrak{f}} = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$, with $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{f}} := \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathfrak{f}}/\mathfrak{f})$ (Γ denotes the absolute Galois group).
- Let $I = \Gamma_{k^u}$ (the **inertia group**), then $1 \rightarrow I \rightarrow \Gamma_k \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow 1$.
- Let $\mathcal{W}_k \subset \Gamma_k$ be the inverse image of $\mathbb{Z} \subset \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ (the **Weil group** of k). Hence $1 \rightarrow I \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_k \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 1$.
- To accommodate for representations of the **Weil-Deligne group** \mathcal{W}'_k of k , we introduce: $\mathcal{WD}_k := \mathcal{W}_k \times \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$.

The Weil group of a nonarchimedean local field

- Let k be a nonarchimedean local field (e.g. \mathbb{Q}_p or $\mathbb{F}_p((x))$), with ring of integers \mathfrak{O} (\mathbb{Z}_p and $\mathbb{F}_p[[x]]$ respectively), max. ideal $\mathfrak{p} = \pi\mathfrak{O} \subset \mathfrak{O}$, and **residue field** $\mathfrak{f} = \mathfrak{O}/\mathfrak{p}$ ($= \mathbb{F}_p$ here).
- Fix k^s , separable alg. closure of k , and $k^u \subset k^s$, maximal unramified extension of k . Then $\text{Gal}(k^u/k) \simeq \Gamma_{\mathfrak{f}} = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$, with $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{f}} := \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathfrak{f}}/\mathfrak{f})$ (Γ denotes the absolute Galois group).
- Let $I = \Gamma_{k^u}$ (the **inertia group**), then $1 \rightarrow I \rightarrow \Gamma_k \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow 1$.
- Let $\mathcal{W}_k \subset \Gamma_k$ be the inverse image of $\mathbb{Z} \subset \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ (the **Weil group** of k). Hence $1 \rightarrow I \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_k \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 1$.
- To accommodate for representations of the **Weil-Deligne group** \mathcal{W}'_k of k , we introduce: $\mathcal{WD}_k := \mathcal{W}_k \times \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$.
- Choose $\text{Fr} \in \mathcal{W}_k$, an inverse image of the Frobenius generator of $\mathbb{Z} \subset \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathfrak{f}}/\mathfrak{f}) = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ (**Frobenius endomorphism**).

Reductive groups over k , and L -groups

G a connected, reductive k -group, split over a fin. Galois ext. k_0/k ($k \subset k_0 \subset k^s$). Fix Borel $B \subset G$, and max. torus $T \subset B$.

- $\Gamma_{k_0/k} \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\text{abs}}(G)$ yields $\Gamma_{k_0/k} \rightarrow \text{Aut}((Y, F_0^\vee, X, F_0))$,
where (Y, F_0^\vee, X, F_0) is the **based root datum** of (G, B, T) .

Reductive groups over k , and L -groups

G a connected, reductive k -group, split over a fin. Galois ext. k_0/k ($k \subset k_0 \subset k^s$). Fix Borel $B \subset G$, and max. torus $T \subset B$.

- $\Gamma_{k_0/k} \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\text{abs}}(G)$ yields $\Gamma_{k_0/k} \rightarrow \text{Aut}((Y, F_0^\vee, X, F_0))$, where (Y, F_0^\vee, X, F_0) is the **based root datum** of (G, B, T) .
- Let $G^\vee \supset B^\vee \supset T^\vee$ be the complex reductive group with the **dual** based root datum (X, F_0, Y, F_0^\vee) . Fix isomorphism $\text{Aut}_{\text{alg}}((G^\vee, B^\vee, T^\vee)) \simeq \text{Aut}((X, F_0, Y, F_0^\vee))$ (choose pinning).

Reductive groups over k , and L -groups

G a connected, reductive k -group, split over a fin. Galois ext. k_0/k ($k \subset k_0 \subset k^s$). Fix Borel $B \subset G$, and max. torus $T \subset B$.

- $\Gamma_{k_0/k} \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\text{abs}}(G)$ yields $\Gamma_{k_0/k} \rightarrow \text{Aut}((Y, F_0^\vee, X, F_0))$, where (Y, F_0^\vee, X, F_0) is the **based root datum** of (G, B, T) .
- Let $G^\vee \supset B^\vee \supset T^\vee$ be the complex reductive group with the **dual** based root datum (X, F_0, Y, F_0^\vee) . Fix isomorphism $\text{Aut}_{\text{alg}}((G^\vee, B^\vee, T^\vee)) \simeq \text{Aut}((X, F_0, Y, F_0^\vee))$ (choose pinning).
- Define ${}^L G := \Gamma_{k_0/k} \rtimes G^\vee$, the L -group of G (a cpx. red. gp.).

Reductive groups over k , and L -groups

G a connected, reductive k -group, split over a fin. Galois ext. k_0/k ($k \subset k_0 \subset k^s$). Fix Borel $B \subset G$, and max. torus $T \subset B$.

- $\Gamma_{k_0/k} \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\text{abs}}(G)$ yields $\Gamma_{k_0/k} \rightarrow \text{Aut}((Y, F_0^\vee, X, F_0))$, where (Y, F_0^\vee, X, F_0) is the **based root datum** of (G, B, T) .
- Let $G^\vee \supset B^\vee \supset T^\vee$ be the complex reductive group with the **dual** based root datum (X, F_0, Y, F_0^\vee) . Fix isomorphism $\text{Aut}_{\text{alg}}((G^\vee, B^\vee, T^\vee)) \simeq \text{Aut}((X, F_0, Y, F_0^\vee))$ (choose pinning).
- Define ${}^L G := \Gamma_{k_0/k} \ltimes G^\vee$, the L -group of G (a cpx. red. gp.).
- Two k -rational forms on G defining the same L -group are in the same **inner class**. The classes of rational forms in an inner class of G are parameterized by $u \in H^1(\Gamma_k, G_{\text{ad}})$.

Reductive groups over k , and L -groups

G a connected, reductive k -group, split over a fin. Galois ext. k_0/k ($k \subset k_0 \subset k^s$). Fix Borel $B \subset G$, and max. torus $T \subset B$.

- $\Gamma_{k_0/k} \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\text{abs}}(G)$ yields $\Gamma_{k_0/k} \rightarrow \text{Aut}((Y, F_0^\vee, X, F_0))$, where (Y, F_0^\vee, X, F_0) is the **based root datum** of (G, B, T) .
- Let $G^\vee \supset B^\vee \supset T^\vee$ be the complex reductive group with the **dual** based root datum (X, F_0, Y, F_0^\vee) . Fix isomorphism $\text{Aut}_{\text{alg}}((G^\vee, B^\vee, T^\vee)) \simeq \text{Aut}((X, F_0, Y, F_0^\vee))$ (choose pinning).
- Define ${}^L G := \Gamma_{k_0/k} \ltimes G^\vee$, the L -group of G (a cpx. red. gp.).
- Two k -rational forms on G defining the same L -group are in the same **inner class**. The classes of rational forms in an inner class of G are parameterized by $u \in H^1(\Gamma_k, G_{\text{ad}})$.
- The inner class of G contains a unique quasi-split form, i.e. a rational form G_0 admitting a k -Borel.

A Theorem of Kottwitz

- Let $Z^{\vee} \subset G^{\vee}$ denote the center, and let ${}^L Z = \{e\} \times (Z^{\vee})^{\Gamma_k} \subset {}^L G$ (this is the center of $\Gamma_k \ltimes G^{\vee}$).

A Theorem of Kottwitz

- Let $Z^\vee \subset G^\vee$ denote the center, and let ${}^L Z = \{e\} \times (Z^\vee)^{\Gamma_k} \subset {}^L G$ (this is the center of $\Gamma_k \ltimes G^\vee$).
- **Assumption** From now on we assume that $G(k)$ admits discrete series representations. This is equivalent to saying that the center $Z(G)$ of G is anisotropic over k .

A Theorem of Kottwitz

- Let $Z^\vee \subset G^\vee$ denote the center, and let ${}^L Z = \{e\} \times (Z^\vee)^{\Gamma_k} \subset {}^L G$ (this is the center of $\Gamma_k \ltimes G^\vee$).
- **Assumption** From now on we assume that $G(k)$ admits discrete series representations. This is equivalent to saying that the center $Z(G)$ of G is anisotropic over k .
- In terms of ${}^L G$ this assumption is equivalent to: ${}^L Z$ is finite.

A Theorem of Kottwitz

- Let $Z^\vee \subset G^\vee$ denote the center, and let ${}^L Z = \{e\} \times (Z^\vee)^{\Gamma_k} \subset {}^L G$ (this is the center of $\Gamma_k \ltimes G^\vee$).
- **Assumption** From now on we assume that $G(k)$ admits discrete series representations. This is equivalent to saying that the center $Z(G)$ of G is anisotropic over k .
- In terms of ${}^L G$ this assumption is equivalent to: **${}^L Z$ is finite.**
- **Kottwitz's theorem:** There is a canonical bijection $\text{Hom}({}^L Z, \mathbb{C}^\times) \approx H^1(\Gamma_k, G)$ such that the quasi split form G_0 of G corresponds to $\text{triv} \in \text{Hom}({}^L Z_{ad}, \mathbb{C}^\times)$. Hence we have a canonical bijection between **inner forms of G** , and **irreducible characters of ${}^L Z_{ad}$.**

Local Langlands parameters

A local Langlands parameter (LP) is a homomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{WD}_k \rightarrow {}^L G = \Gamma_{k_0/k} \rtimes G^\vee$ s.t. (recall $\mathcal{WD}_k = \mathcal{W}_k \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$):

- ϕ is continuous, and $\phi|_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})} \rightarrow G^\vee$ is algebraic.

Local Langlands parameters

- A local Langlands parameter (LP) is a homomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{WD}_k \rightarrow {}^L G = \Gamma_{k_0/k} \rtimes G^\vee$ s.t. (recall $\mathcal{WD}_k = \mathcal{W}_k \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$):
- ϕ is continuous, and $\phi|_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})} \rightarrow G^\vee$ is algebraic.
 - $\phi(\mathrm{Fr})$ is semisimple ($\mathrm{Fr} \in \mathcal{W}_k$ lift of gen. of $\mathcal{W}_k/I \subset \Gamma_f$).

Local Langlands parameters

A local Langlands parameter (LP) is a homomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{WD}_k \rightarrow {}^L G = \Gamma_{k_0/k} \rtimes G^\vee$ s.t. (recall $\mathcal{WD}_k = \mathcal{W}_k \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$):

- ϕ is continuous, and $\phi|_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})} \rightarrow G^\vee$ is algebraic.
- $\phi(\mathrm{Fr})$ is semisimple ($\mathrm{Fr} \in \mathcal{W}_k$ lift of gen. of $\mathcal{W}_k/I \subset \Gamma_f$).
- $\mathrm{Pr}_1 \circ \phi|_{\mathcal{W}_k} \rightarrow \Gamma_{k_0/k}$ is the canonical projection.

Local Langlands parameters

A local Langlands parameter (LP) is a homomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{WD}_k \rightarrow {}^L G = \Gamma_{k_0/k} \rtimes G^\vee$ s.t. (recall $\mathcal{WD}_k = \mathcal{W}_k \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$):

- ϕ is continuous, and $\phi|_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})} \rightarrow G^\vee$ is algebraic.
- $\phi(\mathrm{Fr})$ is semisimple ($\mathrm{Fr} \in \mathcal{W}_k$ lift of gen. of $\mathcal{W}_k/I \subset \Gamma_f$).
- $\mathrm{Pr}_1 \circ \phi|_{\mathcal{W}_k} \rightarrow \Gamma_{k_0/k}$ is the canonical projection.

Two parameters are **equivalent** if they are conjugate under G^\vee .

Local Langlands parameters

A local Langlands parameter (LP) is a homomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{WD}_k \rightarrow {}^L G = \Gamma_{k_0/k} \rtimes G^\vee$ s.t. (recall $\mathcal{WD}_k = \mathcal{W}_k \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$):

- ϕ is continuous, and $\phi|_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})} \rightarrow G^\vee$ is algebraic.
- $\phi(\mathrm{Fr})$ is semisimple ($\mathrm{Fr} \in \mathcal{W}_k$ lift of gen. of $\mathcal{W}_k/I \subset \Gamma_f$).
- $\mathrm{Pr}_1 \circ \phi|_{\mathcal{W}_k} \rightarrow \Gamma_{k_0/k}$ is the canonical projection.

Two parameters are **equivalent** if they are conjugate under G^\vee .

- **Definition:** Let $A_\phi = C_{G^\vee}(\mathrm{Im}(\phi))$. Call ϕ **discrete** if $|A_\phi| < \infty$.

Local Langlands parameters

A local Langlands parameter (LP) is a homomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{WD}_k \rightarrow {}^L G = \Gamma_{k_0/k} \rtimes G^\vee$ s.t. (recall $\mathcal{WD}_k = \mathcal{W}_k \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$):

- ϕ is continuous, and $\phi|_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})} \rightarrow G^\vee$ is algebraic.
- $\phi(\mathrm{Fr})$ is semisimple ($\mathrm{Fr} \in \mathcal{W}_k$ lift of gen. of $\mathcal{W}_k/I \subset \Gamma_f$).
- $\mathrm{Pr}_1 \circ \phi|_{\mathcal{W}_k} \rightarrow \Gamma_{k_0/k}$ is the canonical projection.

Two parameters are **equivalent** if they are conjugate under G^\vee .

- **Definition:** Let $A_\phi = C_{G^\vee}(\mathrm{Im}(\phi))$. Call ϕ **discrete** if $|A_\phi| < \infty$.
- Observe that ${}^L Z \subset A_\phi$, so discrete ϕ only exist if $|{}^L Z| < \infty$.

Local Langlands parameters

A local Langlands parameter (LP) is a homomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{WD}_k \rightarrow {}^L G = \Gamma_{k_0/k} \rtimes G^\vee$ s.t. (recall $\mathcal{WD}_k = \mathcal{W}_k \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$):

- ϕ is continuous, and $\phi|_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})} \rightarrow G^\vee$ is algebraic.
- $\phi(\mathrm{Fr})$ is semisimple ($\mathrm{Fr} \in \mathcal{W}_k$ lift of gen. of $\mathcal{W}_k/I \subset \Gamma_f$).
- $\mathrm{Pr}_1 \circ \phi|_{\mathcal{W}_k} \rightarrow \Gamma_{k_0/k}$ is the canonical projection.

Two parameters are **equivalent** if they are conjugate under G^\vee .

- **Definition:** Let $A_\phi = C_{G^\vee}(\mathrm{Im}(\phi))$. Call ϕ **discrete** if $|A_\phi| < \infty$.
- Observe that ${}^L Z \subset A_\phi$, so discrete ϕ only exist if $|{}^L Z| < \infty$.
- Easy to see: $|A_\phi| < \infty \iff \mathrm{Im}(\phi)$ not contained in proper Levi subgroup. In particular, $(G^\vee)^{\phi(\mathcal{W}_k)}$ is semisimple, and $|\phi(\mathcal{W}_k)| < \infty$.

Enhanced discrete local Langlands parameters

- Let k be a nonarchimedean local field, and let G be connected reductive over k . Let G_0 be a quasi-split form inner form of G , as before.

Enhanced discrete local Langlands parameters

- Let k be a nonarchimedean local field, and let G be connected reductive over k . Let G_0 be a quasi-split form inner form of G , as before.
- Choose $\zeta_G \in \text{Hom}(Z_{ad}^V, \mathbb{C}^\times)$, a character of Z_{ad}^V whose restriction to ${}^L Z_{ad} = (Z_{ad}^V)^{\Gamma_k}$ corresponds to G via Kottwitz's isomorphism. If $G = G_0$, take $\zeta_G = 1$.

Enhanced discrete local Langlands parameters

- Let k be a nonarchimedean local field, and let G be connected reductive over k . Let G_0 be a quasi-split form inner form of G , as before.
- Choose $\zeta_G \in \text{Hom}(Z_{ad}^\vee, \mathbb{C}^\times)$, a character of Z_{ad}^\vee whose restriction to ${}^L Z_{ad} = (Z_{ad}^\vee)^{\Gamma_k}$ corresponds to G via Kottwitz's isomorphism. If $G = G_0$, take $\zeta_G = 1$.
- Let $\mathcal{A}_\phi \subset (G_{ad})^\vee$ be the **full pre image** of $A_\phi / {}^L Z \subset (G_{sc})^\vee$. Hence $Z_{ad}^\vee \subset \mathcal{A}_\phi$ and $\mathcal{A}_\phi / Z_{ad}^\vee = A_\phi / {}^L Z$.

Enhanced discrete local Langlands parameters

- Let k be a nonarchimedean local field, and let G be connected reductive over k . Let G_0 be a quasi-split form inner form of G , as before.
- Choose $\zeta_G \in \text{Hom}(Z_{ad}^\vee, \mathbb{C}^\times)$, a character of Z_{ad}^\vee whose restriction to ${}^L Z_{ad} = (Z_{ad}^\vee)^{\Gamma_k}$ corresponds to G via Kottwitz's isomorphism. If $G = G_0$, take $\zeta_G = 1$.
- Let $\mathcal{A}_\phi \subset (G_{ad})^\vee$ be the **full pre image** of $A_\phi / {}^L Z \subset (G_{sc})^\vee$. Hence $Z_{ad}^\vee \subset \mathcal{A}_\phi$ and $\mathcal{A}_\phi / Z_{ad}^\vee = A_\phi / {}^L Z$.
- Let $\phi : \mathcal{WD}_k \rightarrow {}^L G$ be a discrete Langlands parameter. Let $\text{Irr}(\mathcal{A}_\phi, \zeta_G)$ be the set of cpx. irreps. of \mathcal{A}_ϕ which restrict to $\zeta_G \cdot \text{Id}$ on Z_{ad}^\vee .

Enhanced discrete local Langlands parameters

- Let k be a nonarchimedean local field, and let G be connected reductive over k . Let G_0 be a quasi-split form inner form of G , as before.
- Choose $\zeta_G \in \text{Hom}(Z_{ad}^\vee, \mathbb{C}^\times)$, a character of Z_{ad}^\vee whose restriction to ${}^L Z_{ad} = (Z_{ad}^\vee)^{\Gamma_k}$ corresponds to G via Kottwitz's isomorphism. If $G = G_0$, take $\zeta_G = 1$.
- Let $\mathcal{A}_\phi \subset (G_{ad})^\vee$ be the **full pre image** of $A_\phi / {}^L Z \subset (G_{sc})^\vee$. Hence $Z_{ad}^\vee \subset \mathcal{A}_\phi$ and $\mathcal{A}_\phi / Z_{ad}^\vee = A_\phi / {}^L Z$.
- Let $\phi : \mathcal{WD}_k \rightarrow {}^L G$ be a discrete Langlands parameter. Let $\text{Irr}(\mathcal{A}_\phi, \zeta_G)$ be the set of cpx. irreps. of \mathcal{A}_ϕ which restrict to $\zeta_G \cdot \text{Id}$ on Z_{ad}^\vee .
- Call a pair (ϕ, ρ) , with $\phi : \mathcal{WD}_k \rightarrow {}^L G$ a **discrete Langlands parameter (DLP)**, and $\rho \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{A}_\phi, \zeta_G)$ an **enhanced DLP for G** (abbreviated by **EDLP**).

The conjectured local Langlands correspondence for the discrete series

Local Langlands correspondence LLC for discrete series

There exists a bijection LLC

$$\{\pi \mid \pi \text{ irred. D.S. of } G(k)\} / \sim \xrightarrow{\sim} \{(\phi, \rho) \mid (\phi, \rho) \text{ EDLP for } G\} / \sim$$

- We will write (ϕ_π, ρ_π) for the EDLP corresponding to a discrete series representation π of $G(k)$, and $\pi_{(\phi, \rho)}$ for the discrete series representation of $G(k)$ corresponding to an EDLP (ϕ, ρ) for G .

The conjectured local Langlands correspondence for the discrete series

Local Langlands correspondence LLC for discrete series

There exists a bijection LLC

$$\{\pi \mid \pi \text{ irred. D.S. of } G(k)\} / \sim \xrightarrow{\sim} \{(\phi, \rho) \mid (\phi, \rho) \text{ EDLP for } G\} / \sim$$

- We will write (ϕ_π, ρ_π) for the EDLP corresponding to a discrete series representation π of $G(k)$, and $\pi_{(\phi, \rho)}$ for the discrete series representation of $G(k)$ corresponding to an EDLP (ϕ, ρ) for G .
- Let $G = G_0^u$ with G_0 k -quasi-split, for $u \in H^1(\Gamma_k, (G_0)_{ad})$; put $\Pi_\phi^u := \{[\pi] \in \widehat{G(k)} \text{ a D.S.} \mid \phi_\pi = \phi\}$.

The conjectured local Langlands correspondence for the discrete series

Local Langlands correspondence LLC for discrete series

There exists a bijection LLC

$$\{\pi \mid \pi \text{ irred. D.S. of } G(k)\} / \sim \xrightarrow{\sim} \{(\phi, \rho) \mid (\phi, \rho) \text{ EDLP for } G\} / \sim$$

- We will write (ϕ_π, ρ_π) for the EDLP corresponding to a discrete series representation π of $G(k)$, and $\pi_{(\phi, \rho)}$ for the discrete series representation of $G(k)$ corresponding to an EDLP (ϕ, ρ) for G .
- Let $G = G_0^u$ with G_0 k -quasi-split, for $u \in H^1(\Gamma_k, (G_0)_{ad})$; put $\Pi_\phi^u := \{[\pi] \in \widehat{G(k)} \text{ a D.S.} \mid \phi_\pi = \phi\}$.
- We call $\Pi_\phi := \sqcup_{u \in H^1(\Gamma_k, (G_0)_{ad})} \Pi_\phi^u$ the **L -packet of ϕ** .

The conjectured local Langlands correspondence for the discrete series

Among many others, the correspondence is expected to have the following properties.

- **Unipotent representations.** Assume $G = G_{ad}$. Then π is **unipotent** in the sense of Lusztig if and only if ϕ_π is unramified (i.e. is trivial on the inertia group I). In this case, the LLC should be the bijection Lusztig has constructed via **arithmetic-geometric** correspondences for Hecke algebras.

The conjectured local Langlands correspondence for the discrete series

Among many others, the correspondence is expected to have the following properties.

- **Unipotent representations.** Assume $G = G_{ad}$. Then π is **unipotent** in the sense of Lusztig if and only if ϕ_π is unramified (i.e. is trivial on the inertia group I). In this case, the LLC should be the bijection Lusztig has constructed via **arithmetic-geometric** correspondences for Hecke algebras.
- π is **generic** if and only if $\rho_\pi = \text{triv}$.

The conjectured local Langlands correspondence for the discrete series

Among many others, the correspondence is expected to have the following properties.

- **Unipotent representations.** Assume $G = G_{ad}$. Then π is **unipotent** in the sense of Lusztig if and only if ϕ_π is unramified (i.e. is trivial on the inertia group I). In this case, the LLC should be the bijection Lusztig has constructed via **arithmetic-geometric** correspondences for Hecke algebras.
- π is **generic** if and only if $\rho_\pi = \text{triv}$.
- All elements of Π_ϕ are supercuspidal if and only if the generic member is supercuspidal if and only if $\phi|_{\text{SL}_2} = \text{triv}$.

The conjectured local Langlands correspondence for the discrete series

Among many others, the correspondence is expected to have the following properties.

- **Unipotent representations.** Assume $G = G_{ad}$. Then π is **unipotent** in the sense of Lusztig if and only if ϕ_π is unramified (i.e. is trivial on the inertia group I). In this case, the LLC should be the bijection Lusztig has constructed via **arithmetic-geometric** correspondences for Hecke algebras.
- π is **generic** if and only if $\rho_\pi = \text{triv}$.
- All elements of Π_ϕ are supercuspidal if and only if the generic member is supercuspidal if and only if $\phi|_{\text{SL}_2} = \text{triv}$.
- Stability properties of certain weighted sums of characters in an L -packet.

The local L function

Let $\phi : \mathcal{WD}_k \rightarrow {}^L G$ be a Langlands parameter for k , and assume that (V, r) is a f.d. complex representation of ${}^L G$. Then $(V, r \circ \phi)$ is a f.d. cpx. representation of \mathcal{WD}_k .

The local L function

Let $\phi : \mathcal{WD}_k \rightarrow {}^L G$ be a Langlands parameter for k , and assume that (V, r) is a f.d. complex representation of ${}^L G$. Then $(V, r \circ \phi)$ is a f.d. cpx. representation of \mathcal{WD}_k . So we have

$$V \equiv \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} V_n \otimes \text{Sym}^n$$

where Sym^n is the $n + 1$ -dimensional irrep. of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, and V_n a f.d. cpx. repr. of \mathcal{W}_k .

The local L function

Let $\phi : \mathcal{WD}_k \rightarrow {}^L G$ be a Langlands parameter for k , and assume that (V, r) is a f.d. complex representation of ${}^L G$. Then $(V, r \circ \phi)$ is a f.d. cpx. representation of \mathcal{WD}_k . So we have

$$V \equiv \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} V_n \otimes \text{Sym}^n$$

where Sym^n is the $n + 1$ -dimensional irrep. of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, and V_n a f.d. cpx. repr. of \mathcal{W}_k . **Define:**

$$V_N^l := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} V_n^l \otimes \mathbb{C} v_{hw}^n$$

where v_{hw}^n is the highest weight vector in Sym^n .

The local L function

Let $\phi : \mathcal{WD}_k \rightarrow {}^L G$ be a Langlands parameter for k , and assume that (V, r) is a f.d. complex representation of ${}^L G$. Then $(V, r \circ \phi)$ is a f.d. cpx. representation of \mathcal{WD}_k . So we have

$$V \cong \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} V_n \otimes \text{Sym}^n$$

where Sym^n is the $n + 1$ -dimensional irrep. of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, and V_n a f.d. cpx. repr. of \mathcal{W}_k . **Define**:

$$V_N^l := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} V_n^l \otimes \mathbb{C} v_{hw}^n$$

where v_{hw}^n is the highest weight vector in Sym^n . Then $\phi(\text{Fr})$ acts on V_N^l (indep. of choice Fr). **Let** $q = v^2 = |f|$, and $s \in \mathbb{C}$.

The local L function

Let $\phi : \mathcal{WD}_k \rightarrow {}^L G$ be a Langlands parameter for k , and assume that (V, r) is a f.d. complex representation of ${}^L G$. Then $(V, r \circ \phi)$ is a f.d. cpx. representation of \mathcal{WD}_k . So we have

$$V \equiv \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} V_n \otimes \text{Sym}^n$$

where Sym^n is the $n + 1$ -dimensional irrep. of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, and V_n a f.d. cpx. repr. of \mathcal{W}_k . **Define**:

$$V_N^l := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} V_n^l \otimes \mathbb{C} v_{hw}^n$$

where v_{hw}^n is the highest weight vector in Sym^n . Then $\phi(\text{Fr})$ acts on V_N^l (indep. of choice Fr). **Let $q = v^2 = |f|$** , and $s \in \mathbb{C}$.

Definition of the local L -function

$$L(\phi, V, s) := \prod_{n \geq 0} \det(1 - v^{-n-2s} r(\phi(\text{Fr}))|_{V_N^l})^{-1}$$

γ -factors and discrete Langlands parameters

Definition of γ -factor

For $s \in \mathbb{C}$ define $\gamma(\phi, V, s) := \frac{L(\phi, V^*, 1-s)\epsilon(\phi, V, s)}{L(\phi, V, s)}$, where $\epsilon(\phi, V, s)$ is the local ϵ factor (a root of 1 times an integral power of v^{1-2s}).

- **Proposition (Gross, Reeder):** ϕ is discrete $\iff L(\phi, \text{Ad}, s)$ is regular in $s = 0$ (where Ad is the adjoint representation of ${}^L G$ on \mathfrak{g}^\vee).

γ -factors and discrete Langlands parameters

Definition of γ -factor

For $s \in \mathbb{C}$ define $\gamma(\phi, V, s) := \frac{L(\phi, V^*, 1-s)\epsilon(\phi, V, s)}{L(\phi, V, s)}$, where $\epsilon(\phi, V, s)$ is the local ϵ factor (a root of 1 times an integral power of v^{1-2s}).

- **Proposition (Gross, Reeder):** ϕ is discrete $\iff L(\phi, \text{Ad}, s)$ is regular in $s = 0$ (where Ad is the adjoint representation of ${}^L G$ on \mathfrak{g}^\vee).
- **Corollary** ϕ is discrete if and only if the adjoint γ factor $\gamma(\phi, \text{Ad}, 0)$ is nonzero.

Normalization of measures, and formal degrees

- An **Iwahori subgroup** of $G(k)$ is a compact open subgroup which is conjugate to the inverse image $\mathbb{B} \subset G(\mathfrak{O})$ of the Borel subgroup $B(\mathfrak{f}) \subset G(\mathfrak{f})$.

Normalization of measures, and formal degrees

- An **Iwahori subgroup** of $G(k)$ is a compact open subgroup which is conjugate to the inverse image $\mathbb{B} \subset G(\mathfrak{O})$ of the Borel subgroup $B(\mathfrak{f}) \subset G(\mathfrak{f})$.
- A **parahoric subgroup** \mathbb{P} of $G(k)$ is a compact open subgroup of $G(k)$ which contains an Iwahori subgroup.

Normalization of measures, and formal degrees

- An **Iwahori subgroup** of $G(k)$ is a compact open subgroup which is conjugate to the inverse image $\mathbb{B} \subset G(\mathfrak{O})$ of the Borel subgroup $B(\mathfrak{f}) \subset G(\mathfrak{f})$.
- A **parahoric subgroup** \mathbb{P} of $G(k)$ is a compact open subgroup of $G(k)$ which contains an Iwahori subgroup.
- Put $\overline{\mathbb{P}} := \mathbb{P}/U_{\mathbb{P}}$. We **normalize** Haar measure μ of $G(k)$ such that: $\text{Vol}(\mathbb{P}) = v^{-\dim(\overline{\mathbb{P}})} |\overline{\mathbb{P}}|$ for all parahorics $\mathbb{P} \subset G(k)$.

Normalization of measures, and formal degrees

- An **Iwahori subgroup** of $G(k)$ is a compact open subgroup which is conjugate to the inverse image $\mathbb{B} \subset G(\mathfrak{O})$ of the Borel subgroup $B(\mathfrak{f}) \subset G(\mathfrak{f})$.
- A **parahoric subgroup** \mathbb{P} of $G(k)$ is a compact open subgroup of $G(k)$ which contains an Iwahori subgroup.
- Put $\overline{\mathbb{P}} := \mathbb{P}/\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{P}}$. We **normalize** Haar measure μ of $G(k)$ such that: $\text{Vol}(\mathbb{P}) = v^{-\dim(\overline{\mathbb{P}})} |\overline{\mathbb{P}}|$ for all parahorics $\mathbb{P} \subset G(k)$.
- A discrete series representation (V, π) of $G(k)$ has (by definition) square integrable matrix coefficients $c_{\phi, v}(g) := \phi(\pi(g)v)$ (for $\phi \in V^*$ and $v \in V$). There exists a constant **fdeg**(π) > 0 s.t. $\text{fdeg}(\pi) \|c_{\phi, v}\|_{L^2(G(k), \mu)}^2 = |\phi(v)|^2$.

The Hiraga-Ichino-Ikeda-conjecture

Conjecture of Hiraga, Ichino and Ikeda (2006)

Assume k is a nonarchimedean local field, and G a connected reductive over k , with Haar measure normalized as above. Assume the local LLC $\pi \rightarrow (\phi_\pi, \rho_\pi)$ for G . Let π be a discrete series representation of $G(k)$. Then

$$\text{fdeg}(\pi) = \pm \frac{\dim(\rho_\pi)}{|A_{\phi_\pi}/LZ|} v^{-\dim(G)} \gamma(\phi_\pi, \text{Ad}, 0)$$

Remark. The conjecture would imply that the formal degree $\text{fdeg}(\pi)$ with this normalization of Haar measures has a unique decomposition $\text{fdeg}(\pi) = \text{fdeg}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\pi) \text{fdeg}_q(\pi)$ where $\text{fdeg}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\pi)$ is a rational number, and $\text{fdeg}_q(\pi)$ a fraction of products of q -rational numbers of the form $\frac{v^n - v^{-n}}{v - v^{-1}}$.

Known results

- For $G = \mathrm{GL}_n, \mathrm{SL}_n$: Known, by Henniart, Harris, Taylor, Hiraga, Saito.

Known results

- For $G = \mathrm{GL}_n, \mathrm{SL}_n$: Known, by Henniart, Harris, Taylor, Hiraga, Saito.
- For generic Iwahori-spherical discrete series of split groups, and only the fdeg_q -factor: Heckman-O.(1995).

Known results

- For $G = \mathrm{GL}_n, \mathrm{SL}_n$: Known, by Henniart, Harris, Taylor, Hiraga, Saito.
- For generic Iwahori-spherical discrete series of split groups, and only the fdeg_q -factor: Heckman-O.(1995).
- Lusztig calls a smooth irrep π of $G(k)$ **unipotent** if there exists a parahoric \mathbb{P} of $G(k)$, and a cuspidal unipotent representation σ of $\overline{\mathbb{P}}$ (a reductive finite group of Lie type), such that σ appears in $\pi|_{\mathbb{P}}$. **Reeder (2000)** proved it for unipotent discrete series of split exceptional groups.

Known results

- For $G = \mathrm{GL}_n, \mathrm{SL}_n$: Known, by Henniart, Harris, Taylor, Hiraga, Saito.
- For generic Iwahori-spherical discrete series of split groups, and only the fdeg_q -factor: Heckman-O.(1995).
- Lusztig calls a smooth irrep π of $G(k)$ **unipotent** if there exists a parahoric \mathbb{P} of $G(k)$, and a cuspidal unipotent representation σ of $\overline{\mathbb{P}}$ (a reductive finite group of Lie type), such that σ appears in $\pi|_{\mathbb{P}}$. **Reeder (2000)** proved it for unipotent discrete series of split exceptional groups.
- Waldspurger, Mœglin proved stability of the appropriate sums of unipotent characters, for some classical groups like $\mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}, \mathrm{SU}_n, \dots$. Using the fundamental Lemma, and Arthur's work: The HII-conjecture follows for unipotent representations of these groups.

Main Theorems

Theorem (O., 2014)

If we accept Lusztig's parametrization of unipotent representations of an unramified semisimple **adjoint type** group G over k as the LLC, then the conjecture of H-I-I holds for all **unipotent** L -discrete series L -packets.

Main Theorems

Theorem (O., 2014)

If we accept Lusztig's parametrization of unipotent representations of an unramified semisimple **adjoint type** group G over k as the LLC, then the conjecture of H-I-I holds for all **unipotent** L -discrete series L -packets.

Uniqueness Theorem (Y. Feng-O., 2014)

Assume G is simple. Up to tensoring by unramified characters of $G(k)$, for unipotent discrete series representations π of $G(k)$, the discrete Langlands parameter ϕ_π is completely determined by $\text{fdeg}_q(\pi)$ (assuming the conjecture of H-I-I).

Unipotent affine Hecke algebras

- Let G be connected reductive over k , and G_0 a quasi split form of G . Assume that $G = G_0^u$ with $u \in H^1(k, G_0)$.

Unipotent affine Hecke algebras

- Let G be connected reductive over k , and G_0 a quasi split form of G . Assume that $G = G_0^u$ with $u \in H^1(k, G_0)$.
- Let $\mathbb{P} \subset G(k)$ be a parahoric, and σ a cuspidal unipotent irrep of $\overline{\mathbb{P}}$. Then Lusztig showed that $t := (\mathbb{P}, \sigma)$ is a type.

Unipotent affine Hecke algebras

- Let G be connected reductive over k , and G_0 a quasi split form of G . Assume that $G = G_0^u$ with $u \in H^1(k, G_0)$.
- Let $\mathbb{P} \subset G(k)$ be a parahoric, and σ a cuspidal unipotent irrep of $\overline{\mathbb{P}}$. Then Lusztig showed that $t := (\mathbb{P}, \sigma)$ is a type.
- This means that:
 $\mathcal{R}(G(k))_t := \{\pi \text{ smooth } G(k) \text{ - rep} \mid V_\pi = \mathcal{H}(G(k))V_\pi^\sigma\}$ is an abelian subcategory of the category of smooth representations of $G(k)$.

Unipotent affine Hecke algebras

- Let G be connected reductive over k , and G_0 a quasi split form of G . Assume that $G = G_0^u$ with $u \in H^1(k, G_0)$.
- Let $\mathbb{P} \subset G(k)$ be a parahoric, and σ a cuspidal unipotent irrep of $\overline{\mathbb{P}}$. Then Lusztig showed that $t := (\mathbb{P}, \sigma)$ is a **type**.
- This means that:
 $\mathcal{R}(G(k))_t := \{\pi \text{ smooth } G(k) \text{ - rep} \mid V_\pi = \mathcal{H}(G(k))V_\pi^\sigma\}$ is an abelian subcategory of the category of smooth representations of $G(k)$.
- Then $\mathcal{R}(G(k))_t \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}_q^{u,t} \text{ - mod}$, by $V \rightarrow V^\sigma := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{P}}(\sigma, V)$ where $\mathcal{H}_q^{u,t} = \text{End}_{G(k)}(\text{clnd}_{\mathbb{P}}^{G(k)}(\sigma))^{op}$.

Unipotent affine Hecke algebras

- Let G be connected reductive over k , and G_0 a quasi split form of G . Assume that $G = G_0^u$ with $u \in H^1(k, G_0)$.
- Let $\mathbb{P} \subset G(k)$ be a parahoric, and σ a cuspidal unipotent irrep of $\overline{\mathbb{P}}$. Then Lusztig showed that $t := (\mathbb{P}, \sigma)$ is a **type**.
- This means that:
 $\mathcal{R}(G(k))_t := \{\pi \text{ smooth } G(k) \text{ - rep} \mid V_\pi = \mathcal{H}(G(k))V_\pi^\sigma\}$ is an abelian subcategory of the category of smooth representations of $G(k)$.
- Then $\mathcal{R}(G(k))_t \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}_q^{u,t} \text{ - mod}$, by $V \rightarrow V^\sigma := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{P}}(\sigma, V)$ where $\mathcal{H}_q^{u,t} = \text{End}_{G(k)}(\text{cInd}_{\mathbb{P}}^{G(k)}(\sigma))^{op}$.
- $\mathcal{H}_q^{u,t}$ is an **extended affine Hecke algebra**.

Normalized affine Hecke algebras

- $\mathcal{H}_q^{u,t}$ comes with a ***-operator**, and a **trace** τ normalized such that $\tau(1) = \text{Vol}(\mathbb{P})^{-1} \text{deg}(\sigma)$.

Normalized affine Hecke algebras

- $\mathcal{H}_q^{u,t}$ comes with a ***-operator**, and a **trace** τ normalized such that $\tau(1) = \text{Vol}(\mathbb{P})^{-1} \text{deg}(\sigma)$.
- As such $\mathcal{H}_q^{u,t}$ is a **type I Hilbert algebra**. The **Plancherel decomposition** of its trace $\tau = \int_{\pi \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{H}_q^{u,t})_{\text{temp}}} \chi_\pi d\nu_{PI}(\pi)$ can be computed **explicitly**, and **uniformly** over the parameter space (i.e. classification of tempered irreps, computation of the measure ν_{PI}).

Normalized affine Hecke algebras

- $\mathcal{H}_q^{u,t}$ comes with a ***-operator**, and a **trace** τ normalized such that $\tau(1) = \text{Vol}(\mathbb{P})^{-1} \text{deg}(\sigma)$.
- As such $\mathcal{H}_q^{u,t}$ is a **type I Hilbert algebra**. The **Plancherel decomposition** of its trace $\tau = \int_{\pi \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{H}_q^{u,t})_{\text{temp}}} \chi_\pi d\nu_{PI}(\pi)$ can be computed **explicitly**, and **uniformly** over the parameter space (i.e. classification of tempered irreps, computation of the measure ν_{PI}).
- Why can we be so precise in the Plancherel formula for affine Hecke algebras? The key points are: **Deformation with respect to Hecke parameters** (O., O.-Solleveld (**q-rat. fact.**)); and **Dirac induction AHA**. (Ciubotaru-Kato, Trapa-Ciubotaru-O., Ciubotaru-O. (**Q-fact.**)). Both techniques are not available for p -adic groups themselves.

Plancherel measure and Langlands parameters

- (Kutzko, Henniart): Plancherel meas. of $G(k)$ on $\mathcal{R}(G(k))_t$ correspond with that of $\mathcal{H}_q^{u,t}$ via the equivalence.

Plancherel measure and Langlands parameters

- (Kutzko, Henniart): Plancherel meas. of $G(k)$ on $\mathcal{R}(G(k))_t$ correspond with that of $\mathcal{H}_q^{u,t}$ via the equivalence.
- A main questions remains: How to combine the spectral measures of the various $\mathcal{H}_q^{u,t}$ (where G_0 is fixed, and (u, t) vary) into a measure on the space of local Langlands parameters for the various inner forms of G_0 ?

Plancherel measure and Langlands parameters

- (Kutzko, Henniart): Plancherel meas. of $G(k)$ on $\mathcal{R}(G(k))_t$ correspond with that of $\mathcal{H}_q^{u,t}$ via the equivalence.
- A main questions remains: How to combine the spectral measures of the various $\mathcal{H}_q^{u,t}$ (where G_0 is fixed, and (u, t) vary) into a measure on the space of local Langlands parameters for the various inner forms of G_0 ?
- The answer lies in the relation between Lusztig's "arithmetic-geometric" correspondences in terms of the so called μ -function μ^{qs} of the Iwahori Hecke algebra of G_0 .

Plancherel measure and Langlands parameters

- (Kutzko, Henniart): Plancherel meas. of $G(k)$ on $\mathcal{R}(G(k))_t$ correspond with that of $\mathcal{H}_q^{u,t}$ via the equivalence.
- A main questions remains: How to combine the spectral measures of the various $\mathcal{H}_q^{u,t}$ (where G_0 is fixed, and (u, t) vary) into a measure on the space of local Langlands parameters for the various inner forms of G_0 ?
- The answer lies in the relation between Lusztig's "arithmetic-geometric" correspondences in terms of the so called μ -function μ^{qs} of the Iwahori Hecke algebra of G_0 .
- To explain this in a bit more detail, we need to introduce some notations for affine Hecke algebras.

Affine Hecke algebras

- An affine Hecke algebra \mathcal{H}_q over \mathbb{C} is a deformation of the complex group algebra $\mathbb{C}[W]$ of an extended affine Weyl group $W = X \rtimes W_0$ with W_0 the Weyl group of a based root datum (X, F_0, Y, F_0^\vee) , according to certain Hecke parameters $q_i = v^{n_i}$ associated with the simple reflections s_0, s_1, \dots, s_n .

Affine Hecke algebras

- An affine Hecke algebra \mathcal{H}_q over \mathbb{C} is a deformation of the complex group algebra $\mathbb{C}[W]$ of an extended affine Weyl group $W = X \rtimes W_0$ with W_0 the Weyl group of a based root datum (X, F_0, Y, F_0^\vee) , according to certain Hecke parameters $q_i = v^{n_i}$ associated with the simple reflections s_0, s_1, \dots, s_n .
- \mathcal{H}_q is associative and unital, and it has a distinguished \mathbb{C} -basis $\{N_w\}_{w \in W}$ (with $w \in W$) such that $N_{w_1} N_{w_2} = N_{w_1 w_2}$ (if $l(w_1 w_2) = l(w_1) + l(w_2)$) and $(N_{s_i} - v_i)(N_{s_i} + v_i^{-1}) = 0$.

Affine Hecke algebras

- An affine Hecke algebra \mathcal{H}_q over \mathbb{C} is a deformation of the complex group algebra $\mathbb{C}[W]$ of an extended affine Weyl group $W = X \rtimes W_0$ with W_0 the Weyl group of a based root datum (X, F_0, Y, F_0^\vee) , according to certain Hecke parameters $q_i = v^{n_i}$ associated with the simple reflections s_0, s_1, \dots, s_n .
- \mathcal{H}_q is associative and unital, and it has a distinguished \mathbb{C} -basis $\{N_w\}_{w \in W}$ (with $w \in W$) such that $N_{w_1} N_{w_2} = N_{w_1 w_2}$ (if $l(w_1 w_2) = l(w_1) + l(w_2)$) and $(N_{s_i} - v_i)(N_{s_i} + v_i^{-1}) = 0$.
- There exists a unique abelian subalgebra $\mathcal{H} \supset A \simeq \mathbb{C}[X]$ with a distinguished \mathbb{C} -basis $\{\theta_x\}_{x \in X}$ such that $\theta_x = N_x$ for $x \in X^+$, and $\theta_x \theta_y = \theta_{x+y}$ for all $x, y \in X$ (**Bernstein basis**). As vector spaces: $A \otimes \mathcal{H}_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{H}_0 \otimes A \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}$ (via the multiplication maps).

The μ -function of a normalized affine Hecke algebra

- The center $\mathcal{Z} := Z(\mathcal{H})$ equals A^{W_0} , in terms of the Bernstein basis.

The μ -function of a normalized affine Hecke algebra

- The center $\mathcal{Z} := Z(\mathcal{H})$ equals A^{W_0} , in terms of the Bernstein basis.
- There exists unique $l_{s_i} \in \text{frac}(\mathcal{Z}) \otimes_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{H}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) of the form $l_{s_i} = N_{s_i} + f_i$ with $f_i \in \text{frac}(A)$, such that:

The μ -function of a normalized affine Hecke algebra

- The center $\mathcal{Z} := Z(\mathcal{H})$ equals A^{W_0} , in terms of the Bernstein basis.
- There exists unique $I_{s_i} \in \text{frac}(\mathcal{Z}) \otimes_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{H}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) of the form $I_{s_i} = N_{s_i} + f_i$ with $f_i \in \text{frac}(A)$, such that:
 - Braid relations are satisfied.

The μ -function of a normalized affine Hecke algebra

- The center $\mathcal{Z} := Z(\mathcal{H})$ equals A^{W_0} , in terms of the Bernstein basis.
- There exists unique $I_{s_i} \in \text{frac}(\mathcal{Z}) \otimes_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{H}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) of the form $I_{s_i} = N_{s_i} + f_i$ with $f_i \in \text{frac}(A)$, such that:
 - Braid relations are satisfied.
 - $I_{s_i} \theta_x = \theta_{s_i(x)} I_{s_i}$, for all $x \in X$.

The μ -function of a normalized affine Hecke algebra

- The center $\mathcal{Z} := Z(\mathcal{H})$ equals A^{W_0} , in terms of the Bernstein basis.
- There exists unique $I_{s_i} \in \text{frac}(\mathcal{Z}) \otimes_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{H}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) of the form $I_{s_i} = N_{s_i} + f_i$ with $f_i \in \text{frac}(A)$, such that:
 - Braid relations are satisfied.
 - $I_{s_i} \theta_x = \theta_{s_i(x)} I_{s_i}$, for all $x \in X$.
- Then $\tilde{\mu}^{-1} := I_{W_0}^2 \in \text{frac}(\mathcal{Z})$, a W_0 -invariant rational function on the algebraic torus $T := \text{Hom}(X, \mathbb{C}^\times)$.

The μ -function of a normalized affine Hecke algebra

- The center $\mathcal{Z} := Z(\mathcal{H})$ equals A^{W_0} , in terms of the Bernstein basis.
- There exists unique $I_{s_i} \in \text{frac}(\mathcal{Z}) \otimes_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{H}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) of the form $I_{s_i} = N_{s_i} + f_i$ with $f_i \in \text{frac}(A)$, such that:
 - Braid relations are satisfied.
 - $I_{s_i} \theta_x = \theta_{s_i(x)} I_{s_i}$, for all $x \in X$.
- Then $\tilde{\mu}^{-1} := I_{W_0}^2 \in \text{frac}(\mathcal{Z})$, a W_0 -invariant rational function on the algebraic torus $T := \text{Hom}(X, \mathbb{C}^\times)$.
- **Define:** The μ -function of (\mathcal{H}, τ) is $\mu := \tau(1) \tilde{\mu}$.

The μ -function of a normalized affine Hecke algebra

- The center $\mathcal{Z} := Z(\mathcal{H})$ equals A^{W_0} , in terms of the Bernstein basis.
- There exists unique $I_{s_i} \in \text{frac}(\mathcal{Z}) \otimes_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{H}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) of the form $I_{s_i} = N_{s_i} + f_i$ with $f_i \in \text{frac}(A)$, such that:
 - Braid relations are satisfied.
 - $I_{s_i} \theta_x = \theta_{s_i(x)} I_{s_i}$, for all $x \in X$.
- Then $\tilde{\mu}^{-1} := I_{W_0}^2 \in \text{frac}(\mathcal{Z})$, a W_0 -invariant rational function on the algebraic torus $T := \text{Hom}(X, \mathbb{C}^\times)$.
- **Define:** The μ -function of (\mathcal{H}, τ) is $\mu := \tau(1) \tilde{\mu}$.
- The pair (T, μ) determines the pair (\mathcal{H}, τ) (**normalized AHA**).

Spectral transfer maps (STM)

Definition

A spectral transfer morphism $\phi : (\mathcal{H}_1, \tau_1) \rightsquigarrow (\mathcal{H}_2, \tau_2)$ is a $W_{2,0}$ -orbit $W_{2,0}\phi_T$ of affine morphisms $\phi_T : T_1 \rightarrow T_2$ of algebraic tori satisfying

(T1) ϕ_T is a finite map.

Spectral transfer maps (STM)

Definition

A spectral transfer morphism $\phi : (\mathcal{H}_1, \tau_1) \rightsquigarrow (\mathcal{H}_2, \tau_2)$ is a $W_{2,0}$ -orbit $W_{2,0}\phi_T$ of affine morphisms $\phi_T : T_1 \rightarrow T_2$ of algebraic tori satisfying

- (T1) ϕ_T is a finite map.
- (T2) $\text{Im}(\phi_T) := L \subset T_2$ is a coset of a subtorus along which μ_2 has maximal pole order.

Spectral transfer maps (STM)

Definition

A spectral transfer morphism $\phi : (\mathcal{H}_1, \tau_1) \rightsquigarrow (\mathcal{H}_2, \tau_2)$ is a $W_{2,0}$ -orbit $W_{2,0}\phi_T$ of affine morphisms $\phi_T : T_1 \rightarrow T_2$ of algebraic tori satisfying

- (T1) ϕ_T is a finite map.
- (T2) $\text{Im}(\phi_T) := L \subset T_2$ is a coset of a subtorus along which μ_2 has maximal pole order.
- (T3) $\exists a \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$ such that $\phi_T^*(\mu_2^{(L)}) = a\mu_1$, where $\mu_2^{(L)}$ denotes a regularization of μ_2 along L .

Spectral transfer maps (STM)

Definition

A spectral transfer morphism $\phi : (\mathcal{H}_1, \tau_1) \rightsquigarrow (\mathcal{H}_2, \tau_2)$ is a $W_{2,0}$ -orbit $W_{2,0}\phi_T$ of affine morphisms $\phi_T : T_1 \rightarrow T_2$ of algebraic tori satisfying

- (T1) ϕ_T is a finite map.
- (T2) $\text{Im}(\phi_T) := L \subset T_2$ is a coset of a subtorus along which μ_2 has maximal pole order.
- (T3) $\exists a \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$ such that $\phi_T^*(\mu_2^{(L)}) = a\mu_1$, where $\mu_2^{(L)}$ denotes a regularization of μ_2 along L .

Theorem (rough version) Given STM $\phi : (\mathcal{H}_1, \tau_1) \rightsquigarrow (\mathcal{H}_2, \tau_2)$, the Pl. measure $\nu_{PI,1}$ of (\mathcal{H}_1, τ_1) is closely related to restriction of $\nu_{PI,2}$ to the components in the tempered spectrum of \mathcal{H}_2 which map to W_0L under the central character map.

Lusztig's arithmetic-geometric corresp. and μ^{qs}

G_0 a unramified quasi split group over k . Let $\mathcal{H}^{qs} = \mathcal{H}^{IM}(G_0)$ be the Iwahori Hecke algebra of G_0 , with μ -function μ^{qs} .

- Lusztig: $\bigoplus_{(u,t)} \mathcal{H}^{u,t} \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus \mathcal{H}(G^\vee, H^\vee, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F})$, where the sum on the RHS is over the set of $\text{Int}(G^\vee)$ -orbits of triples $(H^\vee, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F})$ with $H^\vee \subset G^\vee$ reductive, $\mathcal{C} \subset H^\vee$ dist. unip. orbit, and \mathcal{F} a cusp. local cyst. on \mathcal{C} . Here $\mathcal{H}(G^\vee, H^\vee, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F})$ is an affine Hecke algebra which Lusztig attaches to the data $(G^\vee, H^\vee, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F})$.

Lusztig's arithmetic-geometric corresp. and μ^{qs}

G_0 a unramified quasi split group over k . Let $\mathcal{H}^{qs} = \mathcal{H}^{IM}(G_0)$ be the Iwahori Hecke algebra of G_0 , with μ -function μ^{qs} .

- Lusztig: $\bigoplus_{(u,t)} \mathcal{H}^{u,t} \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus \mathcal{H}(G^\vee, H^\vee, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F})$, where the sum on the RHS is over the set of $\text{Int}(G^\vee)$ -orbits of triples $(H^\vee, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F})$ with $H^\vee \subset G^\vee$ reductive, $\mathcal{C} \subset H^\vee$ dist. unip. orbit, and \mathcal{F} a cusp. local cyst. on \mathcal{C} . Here $\mathcal{H}(G^\vee, H^\vee, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F})$ is an affine Hecke algebra which Lusztig attaches to the data $(G^\vee, H^\vee, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F})$.
- **Theorem O., Feng-O.** For all (u, t) we have a bijection: $\{\phi : \mathcal{H}_{u,t} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}^{qs}\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \{(H^\vee, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}) \mid \mathcal{H}(G^\vee, H^\vee, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}) \simeq \mathcal{H}_{(u,t)}\}$.

Lusztig's arithmetic-geometric corresp. and μ^{qs}

G_0 a unramified quasi split group over k . Let $\mathcal{H}^{qs} = \mathcal{H}^{IM}(G_0)$ be the Iwahori Hecke algebra of G_0 , with μ -function μ^{qs} .

- Lusztig: $\bigoplus_{(u,t)} \mathcal{H}^{u,t} \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus \mathcal{H}(G^\vee, H^\vee, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F})$, where the sum on the RHS is over the set of $\text{Int}(G^\vee)$ -orbits of triples $(H^\vee, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F})$ with $H^\vee \subset G^\vee$ reductive, $\mathcal{C} \subset H^\vee$ dist. unip. orbit, and \mathcal{F} a cusp. local cyst. on \mathcal{C} . Here $\mathcal{H}(G^\vee, H^\vee, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F})$ is an affine Hecke algebra which Lusztig attaches to the data $(G^\vee, H^\vee, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F})$.
- **Theorem O., Feng-O.** For all (u, t) we have a bijection: $\{\phi : \mathcal{H}_{u,t} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}^{qs}\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \{(H^\vee, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}) \mid \mathcal{H}(G^\vee, H^\vee, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}) \simeq \mathcal{H}_{(u,t)}\}$.
- **Corollary.** The conjecture of H-I-I holds for Lusztig's parameters, at least for the q -rational factors.

Partial ordering on AHAs; uniqueness results

- We say $(\mathcal{H}_1, \tau_1) \sim (H_2, \tau_2)$ (**spectral isogeny**) if there exist STMs $\phi : (\mathcal{H}_1, \tau_1) \rightsquigarrow (H_2, \tau_2)$ and $\psi : (H_2, \tau_2) \rightsquigarrow (\mathcal{H}_1, \tau_1)$.

Partial ordering on AHAs; uniqueness results

- We say $(\mathcal{H}_1, \tau_1) \sim (H_2, \tau_2)$ (**spectral isogeny**) if there exist STMs $\phi : (\mathcal{H}_1, \tau_1) \rightsquigarrow (H_2, \tau_2)$ and $\psi : (H_2, \tau_2) \rightsquigarrow (\mathcal{H}_1, \tau_1)$.
- (Nondegenerate case): Spectral isogeny implies $\exists \alpha : \mathcal{H}_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} H_2$ (**as AHAs**) and $\alpha^*(\tau_2) = c\tau_1$ with $c \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$.

Partial ordering on AHAs; uniqueness results

- We say $(\mathcal{H}_1, \tau_1) \sim (H_2, \tau_2)$ (**spectral isogeny**) if there exist STMs $\phi : (\mathcal{H}_1, \tau_1) \rightsquigarrow (H_2, \tau_2)$ and $\psi : (H_2, \tau_2) \rightsquigarrow (\mathcal{H}_1, \tau_1)$.
- (Nondegenerate case): Spectral isogeny implies $\exists \alpha : \mathcal{H}_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} H_2$ (**as AHAs**) and $\alpha^*(\tau_2) = c\tau_1$ with $c \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$.
- **Definition:** $[(\mathcal{H}_1, \tau_1)] > [(H_2, \tau_2)]$ iff $\exists \phi : (\mathcal{H}_1, \tau_1) \rightsquigarrow (H_2, \tau_1)$.

Partial ordering on AHAs; uniqueness results

- We say $(\mathcal{H}_1, \tau_1) \sim (H_2, \tau_2)$ (**spectral isogeny**) if there exist STMs $\phi : (\mathcal{H}_1, \tau_1) \rightsquigarrow (H_2, \tau_2)$ and $\psi : (H_2, \tau_2) \rightsquigarrow (\mathcal{H}_1, \tau_1)$.
- (Nondegenerate case): Spectral isogeny implies $\exists \alpha : \mathcal{H}_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} H_2$ (**as AHAs**) and $\alpha^*(\tau_2) = c\tau_1$ with $c \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$.
- **Definition:** $[(\mathcal{H}_1, \tau_1)] > [(H_2, \tau_2)]$ iff $\exists \phi : (\mathcal{H}_1, \tau_1) \rightsquigarrow (H_2, \tau_1)$.
- **Theorem:** Let G_0 be unramified, quasi split group over k , with Iwahori Hecke algebra \mathcal{H}^{qs} as before. In the set of all normalized AHAs $\{\mathcal{H}^{u,t}\}$ arising from unipotent types t of inner forms $G = G_0^u$ of G_0 , \mathcal{H}^{qs} is the lowest element.

Partial ordering on AHAs; uniqueness results

- We say $(\mathcal{H}_1, \tau_1) \sim (H_2, \tau_2)$ (**spectral isogeny**) if there exist STMs $\phi : (\mathcal{H}_1, \tau_1) \rightsquigarrow (H_2, \tau_2)$ and $\psi : (H_2, \tau_2) \rightsquigarrow (\mathcal{H}_1, \tau_1)$.
- (Nondegenerate case): Spectral isogeny implies $\exists \alpha : \mathcal{H}_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} H_2$ (**as AHAs**) and $\alpha^*(\tau_2) = c\tau_1$ with $c \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$.
- **Definition:** $[(\mathcal{H}_1, \tau_1)] > [(H_2, \tau_2)]$ iff $\exists \phi : (\mathcal{H}_1, \tau_1) \rightsquigarrow (H_2, \tau_1)$.
- **Theorem:** Let G_0 be unramified, quasi split group over k , with Iwahori Hecke algebra \mathcal{H}^{qs} as before. In the set of all normalized AHAs $\{\mathcal{H}^{u,t}\}$ arising from unipotent types t of inner forms $G = G_0^u$ of G_0 , **\mathcal{H}^{qs} is the lowest element.**
- (w. Feng) The STM $\mathcal{H}^{u,t} \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{H}^{qs}$ unique up to autom.

Partial ordering on AHAs; uniqueness results

- We say $(\mathcal{H}_1, \tau_1) \sim (H_2, \tau_2)$ (**spectral isogeny**) if there exist STMs $\phi : (\mathcal{H}_1, \tau_1) \rightsquigarrow (H_2, \tau_2)$ and $\psi : (H_2, \tau_2) \rightsquigarrow (\mathcal{H}_1, \tau_1)$.
- (Nondegenerate case): Spectral isogeny implies $\exists \alpha : \mathcal{H}_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}_2$ (**as AHAs**) and $\alpha^*(\tau_2) = c\tau_1$ with $c \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$.
- **Definition:** $[(\mathcal{H}_1, \tau_1)] > [(H_2, \tau_2)]$ iff $\exists \phi : (\mathcal{H}_1, \tau_1) \rightsquigarrow (H_2, \tau_1)$.
- **Theorem:** Let G_0 be unramified, quasi split group over k , with Iwahori Hecke algebra \mathcal{H}^{qs} as before. In the set of all normalized AHAs $\{\mathcal{H}^{u,t}\}$ arising from unipotent types t of inner forms $G = G_0^u$ of G_0 , **\mathcal{H}^{qs} is the lowest element.**
- (w. Feng) The STM $\mathcal{H}^{u,t} \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{H}^{qs}$ unique up to autom.
- This recovers Lusztig's classification, in terms of μ^{qs} and the classification of cuspidal unipotent representations of finite groups of Lie type, **and yields the H-I-I conjecture.**

Elliptic fake degrees and formal degrees

- Let $\phi_u : \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow G^\vee$ be a Jacobson-Morozov hom. for a special distinguished unipotent element $u \in G^\vee$.

Elliptic fake degrees and formal degrees

- Let $\phi_u : \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow G^\vee$ be a Jacobson-Morozov hom. for a special distinguished unipotent element $u \in G^\vee$.
- For all $s \in A_u := C_{G^\vee}(\phi_u)$, let $\phi_{(s,u)} : \mathcal{W}_k \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow {}^L G$ be the DLP such that $\phi_{(s,u)}|_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})} = \phi_u$ and $\phi_{(s,u)}(\mathrm{Fr}) = s$.

Elliptic fake degrees and formal degrees

- Let $\phi_u : \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow G^\vee$ be a Jacobson-Morozov hom. for a special distinguished unipotent element $u \in G^\vee$.
- For all $s \in A_u := C_{G^\vee}(\phi_u)$, let $\phi_{(s,u)} : \mathcal{W}_k \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow {}^L G$ be the DLP such that $\phi_{(s,u)}|_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})} = \phi_u$ and $\phi_{(s,u)}(\mathrm{Fr}) = s$.
- Let Σ_u be the set of equiv. classes of EDLP (ϕ, σ) with $\phi = \phi_{(s,u)}$ for some $s \in A_u$. Then $\Sigma_u / \sim \xrightarrow{\sim} \{(s, \tau) \mid s \in A_u, \tau \in \mathrm{Irr}(C_{A_u}(s))\} / \sim := M(A_u)$, the set of irreps of the Drinfeld double $D(A_u)$.

Elliptic fake degrees and formal degrees

- Let $\phi_u : \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow G^\vee$ be a Jacobson-Morozov hom. for a **special distinguished unipotent element** $u \in G^\vee$.
- For all $s \in A_u := C_{G^\vee}(\phi_u)$, let $\phi_{(s,u)} : \mathcal{W}_k \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow {}^L G$ be the DLP such that $\phi_{(s,u)}|_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})} = \phi_u$ and $\phi_{(s,u)}(\mathrm{Fr}) = s$.
- Let Σ_u be the set of equiv. classes of EDLP (ϕ, σ) with $\phi = \phi_{(s,u)}$ for some $s \in A_u$. Then $\Sigma_u / \sim \xrightarrow{\sim} \{(s, \tau) \mid s \in A_u, \tau \in \mathrm{Irr}(C_{A_u}(s))\} / \sim := M(A_u)$, the set of irreps of the Drinfeld double $D(A_u)$.
- Let S be the "S-matrix" of the Verlinde algebra $K(D(A_u) - \mathrm{mod})$ (Lusztig's **nonabelian Fourier transform**).

Elliptic fake degrees and formal degrees

- **Conjecture** (w. Ciubotaru) Let $\pi \in \mathcal{R}_{unip}(G(k))_{DS}$ such that $(\phi_\pi, \rho_\pi) \in \Sigma_U \leftrightarrow (s, \tau) \in M(A_U)$. Then we have:
$$\text{fdeg}(\pi) = \frac{1}{|LZ|} \sum_{(s', \tau')} \mathcal{S}_{(s, \tau), (s', \tau')} F_{\lim_{q \rightarrow 1}(\pi')^{\mathbb{B}}}^{\text{ell}}$$
, where for $\rho \in \text{Rep}(W_0)$ we put $F_\rho^{\text{ell}} := \frac{(q-1)^n}{|W_0|} \sum_{w \in W_0} \chi_\rho(w) \frac{\det(1-w)}{\det(1-qw)}$ (the **elliptic fake degree** of ρ).

Elliptic fake degrees and formal degrees

- **Conjecture** (w. Ciubotaru) Let $\pi \in \mathcal{R}_{unip}(G(k))_{DS}$ such that $(\phi_\pi, \rho_\pi) \in \Sigma_U \leftrightarrow (s, \tau) \in M(A_U)$. Then we have:

$$\text{fdeg}(\pi) = \frac{1}{|LZ|} \sum_{(s', \tau')} S_{(s, \tau), (s', \tau')} F_{\lim_{q \rightarrow 1}(\pi')^{\mathbb{B}}}^{\text{ell}}, \text{ where for}$$

$\rho \in \text{Rep}(W_0)$ we put $F_\rho^{\text{ell}} := \frac{(q-1)^n}{|W_0|} \sum_{w \in W_0} \chi_\rho(w) \frac{\det(1-w)}{\det(1-qw)}$
(the **elliptic fake degree** of ρ).

- If we replace F_ρ^{ell} by the ordinary fake degree

$F_\rho := \frac{(q-1)^n}{|W_0|} \sum_{w \in W_0} \chi_\rho(w) \frac{P_{W_0}(q)}{\det(1-qw)}$ we obtain Lusztig's formula for the degree of the unipotent characters of $G(\mathbb{F}_q)$ in the family parameterized by $M(A_U)$.

Elliptic fake degrees and formal degrees

- **Conjecture** (w. Ciubotaru) Let $\pi \in \mathcal{R}_{unip}(G(k))_{DS}$ such that $(\phi_\pi, \rho_\pi) \in \Sigma_U \leftrightarrow (s, \tau) \in M(A_U)$. Then we have:

$$\text{fdeg}(\pi) = \frac{1}{|LZ|} \sum_{(s', \tau')} \mathcal{S}_{(s, \tau), (s', \tau')} F_{\lim_{q \rightarrow 1}(\pi')}^{ell}, \text{ where for}$$

$$\rho \in \text{Rep}(W_0) \text{ we put } F_\rho^{ell} := \frac{(q-1)^n}{|W_0|} \sum_{w \in W_0} \chi_\rho(w) \frac{\det(1-w)}{\det(1-qw)}$$

(the elliptic fake degree of ρ).

- If we replace F_ρ^{ell} by the ordinary fake degree

$F_\rho := \frac{(q-1)^n}{|W_0|} \sum_{w \in W_0} \chi_\rho(w) \frac{P_{W_0}(q)}{\det(1-qw)}$ we obtain Lusztig's formula for the degree of the unipotent characters of $G(\mathbb{F}_q)$ in the family parameterized by $M(A_U)$.

- Equiv. is: $\text{fdeg}(\pi) = \frac{(1-q)^{n\tau(1)}}{|C_{A_U}(s)||LZ|} \langle H^*(B_U)^s, \frac{1}{\det(1-q\cdot)} \rangle_{W_0}^{ell}$

Elliptic fake degrees and formal degrees

- **Conjecture** (w. Ciubotaru) Let $\pi \in \mathcal{R}_{unip}(G(k))_{DS}$ such that $(\phi_\pi, \rho_\pi) \in \Sigma_U \leftrightarrow (s, \tau) \in M(A_U)$. Then we have:

$$\text{fdeg}(\pi) = \frac{1}{|LZ|} \sum_{(s', \tau')} \mathcal{S}_{(s, \tau), (s', \tau')} F_{\lim_{q \rightarrow 1}(\pi')}^{ell}, \text{ where for}$$

$$\rho \in \text{Rep}(W_0) \text{ we put } F_\rho^{ell} := \frac{(q-1)^n}{|W_0|} \sum_{w \in W_0} \chi_\rho(w) \frac{\det(1-w)}{\det(1-qw)}$$

(the **elliptic fake degree** of ρ).

- If we replace F_ρ^{ell} by the ordinary fake degree

$F_\rho := \frac{(q-1)^n}{|W_0|} \sum_{w \in W_0} \chi_\rho(w) \frac{P_{W_0}(q)}{\det(1-qw)}$ we obtain Lusztig's formula for the degree of the unipotent characters of $G(\mathbb{F}_q)$ in the family parameterized by $M(A_U)$.

- Equiv. is: $\text{fdeg}(\pi) = \frac{(1-q)^{n\tau(1)}}{|C_{A_U}(s)||LZ|} \langle H^*(B_U)^s, \frac{1}{\det(1-q\cdot)} \rangle_{W_0}^{ell}$
- We checked it for small rank cases (including all exceptional groups).

references

- Ciubotaru, Dan, Opdam, Eric, "Formal degrees of unipotent discrete series representations and the exotic Fourier transform", math.RT.1310.3546.

references

- Ciubotaru, Dan, Opdam, Eric, "Formal degrees of unipotent discrete series representations and the exotic Fourier transform", math.RT.1310.3546.
- Hiraga, K., Ichino, A., Ikeda, T. "Formal degrees and adjoint gamma factors (and errata)", *JAMS*, **21** (2008).

references

- Ciubotaru, Dan, Opdam, Eric, "Formal degrees of unipotent discrete series representations and the exotic Fourier transform", math.RT.1310.3546.
- Hiraga, K., Ichino, A., Ikeda, T. "Formal degrees and adjoint gamma factors (and errata)", *JAMS*, **21** (2008).
- Lusztig, G., "Classification of unipotent representations of simple p -adic groups", *IMRN* **11** (1995).

references

- Ciubotaru, Dan, Opdam, Eric, "Formal degrees of unipotent discrete series representations and the exotic Fourier transform", math.RT.1310.3546.
- Hiraga, K., Ichino, A., Ikeda, T. "Formal degrees and adjoint gamma factors (and errata)", *JAMS*, **21** (2008).
- Lusztig, G., "Classification of unipotent representations of simple p -adic groups", *IMRN* **11** (1995).
- Lusztig, G., "Classification of unipotent representations of simple p -adic groups. II", *Rep. Th.*, **6** (2002).

references

- Ciubotaru, Dan, Opdam, Eric, "Formal degrees of unipotent discrete series representations and the exotic Fourier transform", math.RT.1310.3546.
- Hiraga, K., Ichino, A., Ikeda, T. "Formal degrees and adjoint gamma factors (and errata)", *JAMS*, **21** (2008).
- Lusztig, G., "Classification of unipotent representations of simple p -adic groups", *IMRN* **11** (1995).
- Lusztig, G., "Classification of unipotent representations of simple p -adic groups. II", *Rep. Th.*, **6** (2002).
- Opdam, E.M., "Spectral correspondences for affine Hecke algebras", arxiv: math.RT.1310.7193 (2013).

references

- Ciubotaru, Dan, Opdam, Eric, "Formal degrees of unipotent discrete series representations and the exotic Fourier transform", math.RT.1310.3546.
- Hiraga, K., Ichino, A., Ikeda, T. "Formal degrees and adjoint gamma factors (and errata)", *JAMS*, **21** (2008).
- Lusztig, G., "Classification of unipotent representations of simple p -adic groups", *IMRN* **11** (1995).
- Lusztig, G., "Classification of unipotent representations of simple p -adic groups. II", *Rep. Th.*, **6** (2002).
- Opdam, E.M., "Spectral correspondences for affine Hecke algebras", arxiv: math.RT.1310.7193 (2013).
- Opdam, E.M., "Spectral transfer morphisms for unipotent affine Hecke algebras", arxiv: math.RT.1310.7790 (2013).

references

- Ciubotaru, Dan, Opdam, Eric, "Formal degrees of unipotent discrete series representations and the exotic Fourier transform", math.RT.1310.3546.
- Hiraga, K., Ichino, A., Ikeda, T. "Formal degrees and adjoint gamma factors (and errata)", *JAMS*, **21** (2008).
- Lusztig, G., "Classification of unipotent representations of simple p -adic groups", *IMRN* **11** (1995).
- Lusztig, G., "Classification of unipotent representations of simple p -adic groups. II", *Rep. Th.*, **6** (2002).
- Opdam, E.M., "Spectral correspondences for affine Hecke algebras", arxiv: math.RT.1310.7193 (2013).
- Opdam, E.M., "Spectral transfer morphisms for unipotent affine Hecke algebras", arxiv: math.RT.1310.7790 (2013).
- Reeder, M., "Formal degrees and L-packets of unipotent DS of exceptional p -adic groups", *Crelle* **520** (2000),